

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

DARLINGTON AMADASU,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:01-cv-210

vs.

Dlott, J.  
Black, M.J.

JAMES R. DONOVAN, M.D *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's request for an extension of time in which to respond to defendants' motion to dismiss. (Doc. 83). Defendants have filed a memorandum in opposition to plaintiff's motion. (Doc. 84).

In the interests of justice, plaintiff's motion is **GRANTED, in part, and DENIED, in part**, and plaintiff shall file his response in opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. 76) no later than **December 20, 2004**.<sup>1</sup>

**DATE:** December 7, 2004

s/ Timothy S. Black

Timothy S. Black  
United States Magistrate Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court is aware of defendants' request to conduct an informal discovery dispute conference pursuant to Local Rule 37.1; however, such conferences arise out of mutual requests, and, accordingly, the Court simply awaits completion of briefing of the motion to dismiss.